

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/878,187	06/12/2001	Toshio Morita	Q61610	1960	
7590 04/14/2004			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			LISH, PETER J		
	ania Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, DC 20037-3213			1754		
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/878,187	MORITA ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter J Lish	1754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the lateutory period for reply originally set in	the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S (36(a) and the appropriate exite. The appropriate exite. The final Office action; or	Gee MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
NOTE: the amendment to claim 13 raises new is	ssues.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 13,15 and 16.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-5</u> .							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		,				
10. Other:							
		CTILART E HENDRICKS	:ON				

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments regarding rejection over Kyotani are based upon non-entered amendment. The arguments drawn toward Harada are not persuasive. Applicant argues that comparative example 1 of present application shows that high temperature heat treatment using constant temperature furnace does not show a decrease of metal impurities within the range claimed by applicant. However, the only difference which examiner sees between Example 1 and Comparative Example 1 is the removal of the impurities from the inert gas. It is not seen how the removal of impurities from a gas after its contact with the carbon fibers affects the carbon fibers. Harada teaches treatment under equivalent temperatures and using the same carrier gas as applicant. It is expected that the product of Harada will thus be equivalent to that of the applicant.